Table of Contents

٠.	•	essment in Europe; between Method and Impact -	4
	J	ect	
		Results	12
		ractice of Technology Assessment; Science, Interaction,	
	and (Communication	
	1.1	Introduction	.12
	1.2	TA definition	12
	1.3	From method to impact: a complex relationship	16
	1.4	Institutional setting	18
		1.4.1 Types of institutional settings	18
		1.4.2 Addressees or target groups	. 19
	1 5	1.4.3 Types of expertise on issues and methods	
	1.5	Situation appreciation 1.5.1 Issue dimension	
		1.5.2 Political dimension	
		1.5.3 Social dimension	
		1.5.4 Innovation dimension	
		1.5.5 Availability of knowledge	26
	1.6	Defining the goals of aTA-project	28
	1.7	The project design	29
		1.7.1 The "method toolbox": three classes of TA methods	
		1.7.2 Selection of the TA-methods	
		1.7.3 Quality criteria	
	1.8	Project implementation	47
	1.9	Summary and conclusions	
		1.9.1 Functions of methods for reaching TA goals	48
		1.9.2 The method toolbox	
		1.9.3 How to select the appropriate TA method1.9.4 Quality criteria	
		1.9.4 Quality criteria1.9.5 Recommendations concerning the relationship	51
		between method and impact	52
2.	Towa	ards a Framework for Assessing the Impact of	
	Tech	nology Assessment	. 57
	2.1	Introduction	. 57

	2.2 T	he application of knowledge in policy making	. 58		
	2.3	Definition of impact	60		
	2.4	Typology of impacts	61		
		2.4.1 Raising knowledge			
		2.4.2 Forming attitudes/opinions			
		2.4.3 Initialising action			
	2.5	Influencing factors			
		2.5.1 Institutional setting2.5.2 Technology policymaking culture			
		2.5.3 Structure and state of the innovation process			
	2.6	Conclusions			
3.	Technology Assessment in Europe: Conclusions &				
	Wide	r Perspectives.	87		
	3.1	Introduction	87		
	3.2	Three central terms	88		
	3.3	Method and impact			
		3.3.1 As seen from the method			
		3.3.2 As seen from the impact	90		
	3.4	Evaluation of impact	92		
	3.5	The institutional setting	93		
	3.6	Communication and process	95		
	3.7	The trans-national perspective	96		
Part II: S	Supple	ementary Papers	99		
	-	ing the Impact: the Institutional Context of Parliamentary nology Assessment			
	Luis S	Sanz-Menéndez & Laura Cruz-Castro	101		
	_	nised Interests in the European Union's Science and nology Policy- The Influence of Lobbying Activities			
		Karapiperis & Miltos Ladikas	129		
	Indus	try Technology Assessment: Opportunities and Challenges			
		artnership			
		n Fears & Susanne Stephan	143		
		rally-Based Framing Factors that Influence Technology			
		asz Szapiro.	163		
D		•			
		ndix			
		A: Description of Examples for Matrix of TA Roles			
App	endix	B: Description of Institutes	215		
List of A	uthors	S	241		