

Table of contents

List of figures	viii
List of tables	viii
List of abbreviations	ix
Acknowledgements	xi
Note on translations and transliteration	xiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 A shift, a turn, and a debate	1
1.2 Investigating the dynamics of federal and local policy discourses: why Tatarstan?	5
1.3 Brief overview of existing research on the topic	9
1.3.1 Critical research focusing on Russia in general	10
1.3.2 Critical research focusing on Tatarstan	12
1.4 Aims and focus of the present research	14
1.5 Structure of the book	17
2 Theoretical and methodological foundations	21
2.1 Central concepts	21
2.1.1 Language policy	21
2.1.2 Language ideology	28
2.1.3 National identity	35
2.2 CDS as a theoretical and methodological framework for LPP research	41
2.2.1 Common principles and central concepts of CDS	41
2.2.2 The Discourse-Historical Approach	44
2.2.3 Addressing the critique of CDS	54
2.3 Summary	56
3 Historical outline of nationalities and language policy in Russia	59
3.1 Ethnolinguistic policy in the Soviet Union	60
3.1.1 Central developments	60
3.1.2 Assessment and outcomes	63
3.2 Linguistic democratization of the 1990s	65
3.2.1 Federal relations and nation-building	65
3.2.2 Implications for ethnolinguistic policy	67
3.2.3 Assessment and outcomes	69

3.3 Centralization and homogenization of 2000–2012	70
3.3.1 Putin's reforms: building a political and ideological "power vertical"	70
3.3.2 Implications for ethnolinguistic policy	72
3.3.3 Assessment and outcomes	79
4 Study design	81
4.1 Data collection	82
4.2 Data selection	86
4.2.1 Data set 1: Federal policy discourse on ethnolinguistic diversity	92
4.2.2 Data set 2: Debates on language-in-education policies between the federal center and Tatarstan	92
4.2.3 Data set 3: Public online debate on the compulsory teaching of Tatar	93
4.3 Summary	98
5 Hierarchy of languages, hierarchy of identities: Discursive construction of ethnolinguistic diversity in the debates on nationalities and cultural policy	101
5.1 Discursive construction of the Russian language and related processes	104
5.1.1 Valorization of Russian as "the cornerstone of national unity"	104
5.1.2 Securitization of language-related actions and processes	115
5.2 Discursive construction of ethnolinguistic diversity and minority languages	123
5.2.1 Construction of ethnolinguistic diversity as "our immense treasure"	123
5.2.2 Traditionalization and hierarchization of minority languages and related identities	127
5.3 Constructing the duality of the state policy goals	135
5.4 Discussion	143
6 Debating language-in-education policies: The dynamics of official federal and local discourses	147
6.1 Argumentation in language policy debates: Tools of analysis	148
6.2 <i>Status quo</i> in the debate: The modality of teaching state languages in Tatarstan	150
6.3 Amendments of 2011: Learning a language can be made obligatory only for its native speakers	152
6.3.1 Argumentation in support of the proposal	153
6.3.2 Argumentation against the proposal	159
6.4 Amendments of 2013: Russian should be viewed as a native language in education	161
6.4.1 Argumentation in support of the proposal	163
6.4.2 Argumentation against the proposal	168
6.5 Amendments of 2018: A free choice of which language(s) to study	177
6.5.1 Context and content of the 2018 amendments	177
6.5.2 Argumentation against the proposal: Debate in the State Council	179
6.5.3 Argumentation in support of the proposal: Debate in the State Duma	187
6.6 Discussion	192

7 Public online debate of the compulsory study of Tatar	201
7.1 Summary of discourse topics and differences of opinion	204
7.2 Discursive construction of the compulsory study of Tatar	211
7.2.1 Compulsory study of Tatar as (im)permissible.....	211
7.2.2 Compulsory study of Tatar as (un)justified	221
7.2.3 Compulsory study of Tatar as (un)desirable	229
7.3 Discussion.....	240
8 Conclusion	247
8.1 Language ideologies across policy discourses: Summary and discussion of findings.....	247
8.2 Contributions of the study to the existing research: Application of DALP in a specific national context.....	251
8.3 What next? Avenues for future research.....	254
8.4 A way forward? Prognostic critique of policy discourses on ethnolinguistic diversity in Russia.....	256
References	261
Analyzed sources	277