

In *Divine Causality and Human Free Choice*, R.J. Matava explains the idea of physical premotion defended by Domingo Báñez, whose position in the Controversy *de Auxiliis* has been typically ignored in contemporary discussions of providence and freewill. Through a close engagement with untranslated primary texts, Matava shows Báñez's relevance to recent debates about middle knowledge. Finding the mutual critiques of Báñez and Molina convincing, Matava argues that common presuppositions led both parties into an insoluble dilemma. However, Matava also challenges the informal consensus that Lonergan definitively resolved the controversy. Developing a position independently advanced by several recent scholars, Matava explains how the doctrine of creation entails a position that is more satisfactory both philosophically and as a reading of Aquinas.

**R.J. Matava**, Ph. D. (2010), St. Andrews, is Assistant Professor of Theology at Christendom College. He was a research fellow at the Center for Medieval Philosophy, Georgetown University and the Liddon Fellow in Theology at Keble College, University of Oxford.