

CONTENTS

Notes on contributors and editors page x

Acknowledgements xvi

List of abbreviations xvii

1 Introduction: European refugee law and transnational emulation 1

HÉLÈNE LAMBERT

A Worldwide emulation of Europe: drivers and facilitators 4

B Key *trends* in European refugee law 8

1 Processes of securitization 12

2 Improved standards of refugee protection? 14

3 Exceptions and derogations to established international standards 16

4 Europe's unique double-judicial check 18

C Structure of the book 23

2 Migrating laws? The 'plagiaristic dialogue' between Europe and Australia 25

JANE MCADAM

A Introduction 25

B Safe third countries 28

1 Legislative framework 30

2 Judicial consideration 34

C Regional protection and offshore processing 36

1 Regional processing centres 36

2 Transfer arrangements 39

3 A regional legal framework: transplanting the EU model? 42

D Eurodac	45
E Complementary protection	47
F Processes of influence	50
1 Case law	50
2 Immigration Department	53
3 Development of legislation and policy	55
4 Personal connections/observations	65
G Conclusion	67
3 European influence on asylum practices in Latin America: accelerated procedures in Colombia, Ecuador, Panama and Venezuela	71
DAVID J. CANTOR	
A European influence on Latin America	73
1 European antecedents to political asylum in Latin America	73
2 Latin America's reaction to 'European' refugee law	75
3 Spain as a model for refugee status determination procedures in Latin America	78
B Accelerated procedures and Europe	81
1 The global framework of the UNHCR Executive Committee	81
2 European practice as the basis for the UNHCR framework	83
C Latin American emulation of European accelerated procedures	85
1 Accelerated procedures in the Andes and Central America	86
2 Drivers for change	90
(a) Upsurge in Colombian refugees	90
(b) Flows of <i>extracontinentales</i>	91
3 Factors facilitating emulation of Europe	93
(a) Spain as a European model of deterrence	94
(b) UNHCR as a mediating agency	95
D Conclusion: future prospects	96
4 A safe country to emulate? Canada and the European refugee	99
AUDREY MACKLIN	
A Introduction	99
B Roma asylum seekers in Canada	104

C	Keeping Roma out, take 1: the institutional response	106
D	Keeping Roma out, take 2: jurisprudential imagination	108
E	Keeping Roma out, take 3: safe country of origin	113
F	Safe country of origin: Canada and the Procedures Directive compared	120
G	Conclusion	128
H	Postscript	130
5	Between East and West: the case of Israel	132
	DALLAL STEVENS	
A	Introduction	132
B	Background to Israel's asylum regime	135
C	Israeli asylum determination	136
D	Political drivers	142
E	Case law and the judiciary: facilitating change?	147
F	The transformative effect of discourse	149
G	The role of civil society	151
H	Conclusion	152
6	Is Switzerland an EU Member State? Asylum law harmonization through the backdoor	156
	VINCENT CHETAIL AND CÉLINE BAULOZ	
A	Introduction	156
B	The Dublin Association Agreement: the Trojan horse of European harmonization in Switzerland	161
1	Rationale of the Dublin Association: the fear of becoming the asylum country of last resort	161
2	Behind the Dublin mechanism: a case of normative mimicry	163
C	Interpreting the refugee definition: a bottom-up harmonization	168
1	Actors of persecution: the domino effect of the Qualification Directive	168

2	Effective protection: the ripple effect of the protection approach	172
3	The internal flight alternative: towards EU neutralization of Swiss particularism	174
	D Conclusion: Switzerland as the outsiders' insider within the EU	177
7	The impact of European refugee law on the regional, subregional and national planes in Africa	178
	MARINA SHARPE	
	A Introduction	178
	B The European asylum <i>acquis</i>	179
	C The regional level: the OAU's early emulation and contemporary AU practice	180
	1 The drafting of the 1969 Convention	181
	2 The contemporary AU approach to refugee protection	188
	D The subregional level: contemporary emulation in SADC	190
	1 The free movement of people	191
	2 Towards the harmonization of refugee protection in SADC	195
	E The national level: modern indicators of influence	195
	F Conclusion	199
8	Stealth emulation: the United States and European protection norms	201
	MARYELLEN FULLERTON	
	A Introduction	201
	B Setting the stage: the context for emulation in the United States	202
	1 American exceptionalism	203
	2 The debate about foreign law	204
	3 The dualist emphasis on domestic law	205
	C The US asylum system and European refugee law	206
	1 Direct emulation in the past	206
	2 Pathways of emulation in the present	207
	(a) Training in international norms	207

	(b) Legal education	208	
	(c) Transnational networks	210	
	D Partial emulation of CEAS norms	211	
	1 Membership of a particular social group	211	
	(a) Early US jurisprudence	211	
	(b) The CEAS norm	212	
	(c) New challenges in the United States	213	
	(d) Emulation or coincidence?	215	
	2 Subsidiary protection	217	
	(a) US responses to the protection gap	218	
	(b) The case for norm diffusion	220	
	E Conclusion	222	
9	The vanishing refugee: how EU asylum law blurs the specificity of refugee protection	225	
	JEAN-FRANÇOIS DURIEUX		
	A Introduction	225	
	B Mutual trust	229	
	1 The EU definition of 'refugee'	230	
	2 The distribution of protection responsibilities	233	
	3 Summary	235	
	C A space without internal borders	236	
	1 The logic of external border control	239	
	2 The logic of internal control	241	
	3 Summary	243	
	D Enter human rights	244	
	1 The limits of trust	245	
	2 Human rights-based protection	246	
	(a) Subsidiary protection: the opportunity	248	
	(b) Subsidiary protection: the risk	249	
	(c) Summary	253	
	E Conclusion	256	
10	Conclusion: Europe's normative power in refugee law	258	
	HÉLÈNE LAMBERT		
	<i>Bibliography</i>	267	
	<i>Index</i>	309	