CONTENTS

Acknowledgements page x Table of Cases xii List of Abbreviations xiii

- 1 Introduction 1
 - 1.1
 Reciprocity and IHL
 3

 1.1.1
 A More Nuanced View of Reciprocity
 3

 1.1.2
 Beyond Codified Law: Reciprocity in Practice
 4
 - 1.2 Methodology 7
 - 1.3 Structure of Book 10
- 2 Reciprocity and IHL Compliance 12
 - 2.1 IHL: Rules Governing the Conduct of Hostilities 13
 - 2.2 Reciprocity and IHL 20
 - 2.2.1 Reciprocity: An Initial Definition 20
 - 2.2.2 Neoliberal Institutionalism and Reciprocity 22
 - 2.2.3 The Original Position and Reciprocity 25

2.3 The Humanization of Humanitarian Law 28

- 2.3.1 The Special Character of IHL 28
- 2.3.2 Restrictions on Belligerent Reprisals 32
- 2.3.3 The Principle of Universal Jurisdiction 36
- 2.4 Preserving Expectations of Reciprocity within IHL 39
 - 2.4.1 A More Nuanced Version of Specific Reciprocity 39

2.4.2 Hart, Secondary Rules and Specific Reciprocity 42

2.4.3 The Domestic Multi-actor Setting 45

CONTENTS

	2.5	Alternative Mechanisms for Gaining Compliance with
		IHL 48
		2.5.1 Legal Process and Compliance with IHL 49
		2.5.2 The Compliance Pull of the IHL 52
		2.5.3 Identity and Compliance with IHL 54
	2.6	Conclusion 57
3		iprocity and the Updating of the Geneva wentions 59
	3.1	The Expectation of Reciprocity and IHL Prior to the Second World War 60
	3.2	The Application of the Geneva Conventions andAdditional Protocols70
	3.3	Reciprocity and the Treatment of POWs 81
	3.4	Reciprocity and the Treatment of Civilians 89
	3.5	Conclusion 93
4		Expectation of Reciprocity and the War in nam 95
	4.1	USA and Compliance with IHL Prior to the Vietnam War 96
	4.2	The Decision to Apply the Geneva Conventions to the War in Vietnam 100 4.2.1 The Logic of Appropriateness and Applying the Geneva
		Conventions1014.2.2Reciprocity and the Decision to Apply the Geneva Conventions103
	4.3	The POW Status of Detainees 105
		4.3.1 The Logic of Appropriateness and the POW Status of Detainees 106
		4.3.2 Reciprocity and the POW Status of Detainees 109
	4.4	War Crimes 114
		4.4.1 The Logic of Appropriateness and War Crimes 114
		4.4.2 Reciprocity and War Crimes 116

4.5 Conclusion 126

viii

5	The	Expectation of Reciprocity and the GWOT	128
	5.1	The USA and IHL Obligations: Vietnam to Yugoslavia 129	
	5.2	The Use of Military Commissions to TryDetainees1345.2.1The Logic of Appropriateness and Military Commissions1355.2.2Reciprocity and Military Commissions139	
	5.3	The POW Status of Detainees1445.3.1The Logic of Appropriateness and POW Status5.3.2Reciprocity and POW Status147	144
	5.4	The Use of EITs on Detainees1565.4.1The Logic of Appropriateness and EITs1595.4.2Reciprocity and EITs165	
	5.5	Conclusion 167	
6	Con	clusion 169	
	6.1	Summary of Empirical Evidence 169	
	6.2	The Trump Administration and IHL 176	
	6.3	Generalizing Beyond IHL 179	
		pendices 185 liography 191 ex 208	

ix