

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Information Disclosure: A Distinctive Profile	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	The Commonwealth Approach	12
2.3	The USA Approach	20
2.4	The Materiality Test	21
2.5	Making Health Care Divisible	30
2.5.1	The Doctor-Oriented Standard v. The Patient-Oriented Standard	33
2.5.2	Medical Judgment v. Layperson Knowledge	34
2.5.3	Information v. Materiality	36
2.6	Proposal	40
	References	41
3	Disclosure of Alternatives: In a Wide Context	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	From Disclosure of Risks to Disclosure of Alternatives	44
3.3	Disclosure of Alternatives: Conventional Wisdom	50
3.4	General Distinction: Selection, Recommendation, Performance v. Disclosure	58
3.5	Further Distinction: Selection – Recommendation – Performance – Disclosure	59
3.5.1	Performance	59
3.5.2	Selection	61
3.5.3	Recommendation	67
3.5.4	Disclosure and Doctors' Preferences	76
3.6	Conclusion: A New Model	78
	References	79

4	Filters and Circumstances	81
4.1	Introduction.....	81
4.2	Filters	84
4.2.1	Medical Knowledge.....	84
4.2.2	The Particular Patient's Condition.....	86
4.2.3	"Material" Information	87
4.2.4	Information "Making a Difference".....	88
4.3	Circumstances.....	97
4.3.1	"Elective" Procedures.....	97
4.3.2	"Experimental" Procedures.....	99
4.3.3	Patients' Inquiry.....	102
4.4	Conclusion	106
	References.....	107
5	Categorisation of Alternatives	109
5.1	Introduction.....	109
5.2	Conventional and Emerging Treatment Options.....	111
5.3	Legally Unavailable Alternatives.....	112
5.4	Financially Unavailable Alternatives.....	114
5.5	Geographically Unavailable Alternatives	114
5.6	Alternative Provider.....	116
5.6.1	The USA Approach.....	116
5.6.2	The Canadian Approach	119
5.6.3	The Australian Law.....	121
5.7	CAM Alternatives	122
5.8	Doing Nothing: An Option?.....	123
5.9	Conclusion	124
	References.....	125
6	Emerging Treatment Options	127
6.1	Introduction.....	127
6.2	"A School of Thought" in the Context of Selection of Treatment Options	128
6.3	Adoption of Emerging Treatment Options	132
6.3.1	According Priority to Conventional Treatments	132
6.3.2	Leeway in Adopting Emerging Treatment Options	135
6.4	Disclosure of Emerging Treatment Options	139
6.4.1	Only More Promising Alternatives?.....	140
6.4.2	Only More Mature Alternatives?.....	142
6.5	Conclusion and Suggestion.....	145
	References.....	149
7	Financially Unavailable Treatment Options	151
7.1	Introduction.....	151
7.2	Provision of Treatments: Resource-Conscious?	152
7.3	Disclosure of Treatment Options: Resource-Blind?	157

7.3.1	Disutility?.....	157
7.3.2	A Sensitive and Reactive Approach?.....	159
7.3.3	Undermining Rationing?.....	161
7.3.4	Time Resource	162
7.4	Conclusion	164
	References.....	166
8	CAM and Alternatives	169
8.1	Introduction.....	169
8.2	Orthodox Medicine Practitioners and CAM.....	171
8.2.1	Adoption of CAM.....	171
8.2.2	Disclosure of CAM.....	178
8.3	Dual Practitioners.....	184
8.3.1	Delivering CAMs.....	185
8.3.2	Disclosure of Alternatives.....	187
8.4	CAM Practitioners	188
8.4.1	The Context of Diagnosis and Treatment: The “Same School” Rule?	189
8.4.2	The Context of Information Disclosure: A Duty to Inform About Conventional Medicine Alternatives?.....	199
8.4.3	A Canadian Case: A CAM Practitioner and the Duty of Care	203
8.5	Conclusion	207
	References.....	209
9	New Zealand Context and Beyond	213
9.1	Introduction.....	213
9.2	The English Model.....	214
9.2.1	The Less Demanding Common Law	214
9.2.2	The More Demanding Professional Regulation.....	221
9.2.3	Law or Professional Regulation?	223
9.3	The Australian Model	225
9.3.1	The Modestly Demanding Common Law and Legislation.....	225
9.3.2	The More Demanding Professional Regulation.....	228
9.4	The Canadian Model.....	231
9.4.1	The More Stringent Common Law	231
9.4.2	The Similarly Stringent Legislation and Professional Regulation	238
9.5	Common Law, Professional Regulation, Legislation?.....	239
9.6	New Zealand Law and Its Code of Patients’ Rights	242
9.6.1	The Unique “Code”.....	242
9.6.2	Scope or Standard of Information Disclosure.....	249
9.6.3	A “Materiality” Test?.....	252
9.6.4	A Two-Pronged Test?.....	254
9.6.5	Disclosure of Options/Alternatives.....	255

9.6.6	Options: “Available”?	257
9.6.7	A Doctor’s Recommendation and Preferences	259
9.6.8	Minority Treatment Options	261
9.6.9	Innovative Treatment Options	264
9.6.10	The Opening Words of Right 6(1) and Clause 3	265
9.7	CAM, Conventional Medicine and Disclosure of Alternatives	269
9.7.1	CAM Practitioners	269
9.7.2	Dual Practitioners	272
9.7.3	Conventional Medicine Practitioners	274
9.7.4	Impression: Still Orthodoxy-Reinforcing?	276
9.8	Conclusion: The Code Approach and the Tort Approach	276
	References	282
10	Chinese Context	285
10.1	Introduction	285
10.2	Legal Context	286
10.2.1	Chinese Medical Law	286
10.2.2	Chinese Law of Liability for Medical Damage	288
10.2.3	Chinese Informed Consent Law and the Duty to Disclose Alternatives	321
10.2.4	Conclusion and Suggestion	350
10.3	Medical Context	351
10.3.1	Chinese Health Care	351
10.3.2	Traditional Chinese Medicine v. “Western” Medicine	355
10.3.3	Dual and Integrative Medicine	358
10.4	Traditional Chinese Medicine and the Law	362
10.4.1	Integrative or Alternative Legal Framework?	362
10.4.2	TCM Practitioners and Informed Consent Law	367
10.4.3	Western Medicine, Chinese Medicine and Disclosure of Alternatives	369
10.5	Conclusion	370
	References	371
11	Conclusion	377
	References	389
	Appendices	391
	Appendix 1: Treatment Options and Recommendation	391
	Appendix 2: Treatment Options and Physical Conditions	392
	Appendix 3: Chinese Legal System	394
	Appendix 4: Chinese Medical Negligence Law: From 1986 to 2009	398