

Contents

1	What is Sports Law? <i>Lex Sportiva</i> and <i>Lex Ludica</i>:	
	A Reassessment of Content and Terminology	1
1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	Does Such a Thing as ‘Sports Law’ Exist?	3
1.2.1	Assessment Framework	5
1.3	What Is ‘Sports Law’?	8
1.3.1	Lex Sportiva	8
1.3.2	Global Sports Law	10
1.3.3	Transnational Sports Law	12
1.3.4	Lex Ludica	12
1.3.5	Public International Sports Law	13
1.3.6	European Sports Law	14
1.3.7	Summary	15
1.4	A Reassessment of Content and Terminology	15
1.4.1	Content	15
1.4.2	Terminology	20
1.5	The Hard Core of Sports Law	24
1.6	Conclusion	27
1.6.1	Postscript	27
1.7	Annex	28
1.7.1	An Etymology of the Termini Technici <i>Lex Sportiva</i> and <i>Lex Ludica</i> : Where Do They Come From?	28
	References	31
2	Towards a Typology of (International) Comparative Sports Law (Research)	35
2.1	Introduction	36
2.2	Studies and Reports: A Survey	41
2.2.1	Public Studies and Reports	41
2.2.2	Private Studies and Reports	46
2.2.3	Private/Public Studies and Reports	55

2.3	Summary and Conclusion	63
	References	65
3	The Specificity of Sport: Sporting Exceptions in EU Law.	67
3.1	Introduction	68
3.2	Sport not in European Treaties.	70
3.3	Treaty of Amsterdam: 1997 Declaration on Sport.	70
3.4	Treaty of Nice: 2000 Declaration on Sport	71
3.4.1	Comment.	72
3.5	The Declarations on Sport (Amsterdam, Nice) in the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Justice and Commission Decision-Making	73
3.6	The 2007 White Paper on Sport	75
3.7	The Specificity of Sport in the White Paper	78
3.8	Sport in the Constitutional and Reform (Lisbon) Treaties	79
3.9	Sport Specificity in the 2011 'White Paper Plus'	81
3.10	The Practical Application of the 'Sport Specificity' Concept in Commission Practice and ECJ Jurisprudence	82
3.10.1	The Application of Internal Market Freedoms (Movement and Services) to Sport	82
3.10.2	The Application of EU Competition Law to the Organisation of Sport.	85
3.11	Summary and Conclusion	94
	References	96
4	EU Competition Law and Professional Sport: The Collective Selling of TV Rights in Europe and the Dutch Equestrian Case: How The <i>Meca Medina</i> 'Test' for Organisational Sporting Rules is Applied	97
4.1	Introduction	98
4.2	Testing Organisational Sporting Rules Under EU Competition Law	99
4.2.1	General Principles.	99
4.3	The Collective Selling of Sports Media Rights.	105
4.3.1	Introduction	105
4.3.2	Market Definitions	106
4.3.3	Competition Concerns Resulting from the Behaviour of Sellers	107
4.3.4	Conclusion.	114
4.4	The Dutch Equestrian Case	114
4.4.1	The Contested Procedure.	114
4.4.2	The EU Competition Law Provisions	115

4.4.3	First Consideration: Is the Sports Association that Adopted the Rule Considered an Undertaking or an Association of Undertakings?	116
4.4.4	Second Consideration: Does the Contested Rule Restrict Competition Within the Meaning of Article 101 TFEU or Constitute an Abuse of a Dominant Position Under Article 102 TFEU?	118
4.4.5	Third Consideration: Is Trade Between Member States Affected?	124
4.4.6	Fourth Consideration: Does the Rule Fulfil the Conditions of Article 101(3) TFEU?	125
4.4.7	The Relevance of Article 165 TFEU	126
4.4.8	Conclusions	127
4.5	Summary and Conclusion	128
	References	130

5 Sports Betting in the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Justice: A Study into the Application of the *Stare Decisis* Principle, or: The Application of the ‘Reversal Method’ of Content Analysis and The Essence of the ECJ Case

	Law on Sports Betting	131
5.1	Introduction	132
5.1.1	Definition of ‘Sports Betting’	134
5.2	Legal and Factual Context of the Case-Law	135
5.2.1	Zenatti (1999)	135
5.2.2	Gambelli (2003)	137
5.2.3	Placanica (2007)	139
5.2.4	Commission v Italy (2007)	143
5.2.5	Liga Portuguesa de Futebol Profissional (2009) (hereafter: Liga Portuguesa)	144
5.2.6	Sporting Exchange Ltd (‘Betfair’) (2010)	148
5.2.7	Ladbrokes (2010)	151
5.2.8	Otto Sjöberg and Anders Gerdin v. Swedish State (2010) (hereafter: Sjöberg/Gerdin)	153
5.2.9	Carmen Media (2010)	155
5.2.10	Summary of the Legal and Factual Context of the Case-Law	160
5.3	The Case-Law Presented According to the ‘Reversal’ Method	162
5.3.1	Carmen Media	162
5.3.2	Sjöberg/Gerdin	164
5.3.3	Ladbrokes	166
5.3.4	Sporting Exchange (‘Betfair’)	168

5.3.5	Liga Portuguesa de Futebol Profissional	170
5.3.6	Commission v Italy.	172
5.3.7	Placanica	173
5.3.8	Gambelli	176
5.3.9	Zenatti.	178
5.3.10	Analysis of the Case-Law	179
5.4	Sports Betting and the Concept of 'Sports Law'	186
5.5	Conclusion.	187
	References	189

6	History/Football Politics/Social Dialogue in Sport: Study into the Possible Participation of EPFL and G-14 in a Social Dialogue in the European Professional Football Sector.	191
6.1	Preface	192
6.1.1	Social Dialogue in Sport	192
6.1.2	History: Football Politics (Asser G-14/EPFL Participation Study).	195
6.2	Chapter 1: Introduction	196
6.3	Chapter 2: Mandate, Tasks and Purposes.	198
6.3.1	Summary Concerning the Question of Mandate	206
6.4	Chapter 3: (In)dependence.	206
6.4.1	Summary on (In)dependence	214
6.5	Chapter 4: EU Admissibility Criteria	215
6.6	Chapter 5: Representation in the Context of Present Social Dialogue Committees	218
6.7	Chapter 6: Specificity of Sport.	222
6.8	Chapter 7: Agenda of Topics.	224
6.8.1	Artificial Turf.	226
6.8.2	"Home-grown" Players Rule	226
6.8.3	Image Rights	227
6.8.4	International Match Calendar	228
6.8.5	Investment (Player) Funds	229
6.8.6	Non-EU Nationals.	230
6.8.7	Pension Funds	231
6.8.8	Players' Agents	231
6.8.9	Player Release System.	232
6.8.10	Pyramid Structure.	233
6.8.11	Salary Capping.	234
6.9	Chapter 8: Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations	235
6.9.1	Informal Social Dialogue.	237
6.10	Postscript: New Developments in Football Governance.	237
6.10.1	Specificity of Sport.	239
	References	240

7	Sport and Nationality: “Accelerated” Naturalisation for National Representative Purposes and Discrimination Issues in Individual and Team Competitions Under EU Law	241
7.1	Introduction	242
7.2	The “Accelerated” Naturalisation for National Representation Issue	244
7.2.1	Comment	246
7.2.2	Kalou Case	250
7.3	Sport and Discrimination in EU Law	252
7.3.1	The Discrimination of Sportspersons (“EU Non-Nationals”) in Individual National Championships	252
7.3.2	The Discrimination of Professional Football Players (“EU Non-Nationals”)	258
7.3.3	UEFA Home Grown Players’ Rule	262
7.4	Summary and Conclusion	265
	References	267
8	International Professional Football Law: Webster, Matuzalem and De Sanctis—The CAS Transfer ‘Buy-Out’ Rulings	269
8.1	Introduction	270
8.2	DRC and CAS	271
8.3	FIFA Transfer Rules: Contractual Stability	272
8.3.1	Comment	276
8.4	‘Valid Contract (Compensation) Cases’	278
8.4.1	<i>Webster</i> Case	278
8.4.2	<i>Matuzalem</i> Case	285
8.4.3	<i>De Sanctis</i> Case	301
8.5	Conclusion	311
	References	312
9	Anti-Doping Law in Sport: the Hybrid Character of WADA and the Human Rights of Athletes in Doping Cases (Proportionality Principle)	313
9.1	Introduction	314
9.2	WADA: A Public–Private Body	315
9.3	The Dutch Billiard Social Drugs Case and the Principle of Proportionality	319
9.3.1	First Instance: Instituut Sportrechtspraak: Royal Dutch Billiards Federation (KNBB) (Complainant) v N. Zuijkerbuijk (Defendant)	319
9.3.2	Appeal: Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS)	328
9.4	Summary and Conclusion	331
9.4.1	Question	332

9.4.2	Answer	332
	References	333
10	Legal Aspects of Combating Transnational Football	
	Hooliganism in Europe	335
10.1	Introduction	336
10.2	UEFA Safety and Security Regulations	337
10.3	UEFA Disciplinary Regulations (Edition 2006)	338
10.4	The Court of Arbitration for Sport	338
10.5	Council of Europe	342
10.6	European Union	344
10.6.1	After the Heysel Tragedy	344
10.6.2	The Larive Report on Vandalism and Violence in Sport	345
10.6.3	An Amended Resolution	347
10.6.4	The 1996 Council Recommendation	348
10.6.5	The Roth Report and the Resulting Resolution	349
10.6.6	Binding Measures	351
10.6.7	The Possibility of Stadium Bans	352
10.6.8	The First Police Handbook	353
10.6.9	The 2001 Handbook	354
10.6.10	The Council Decision of 25 April 2002	361
10.6.11	The Council and Stadium Bans	363
10.6.12	Ticketing	364
10.6.13	Concluding Remarks	369
10.7	Summary and Conclusions	372
11	International Sports Boycotts: Sport, Law and Politics	379
11.1	Introduction	380
11.2	The Boycott of the 1980 Moscow Olympic Games and Détente	382
11.2.1	Introduction	382
11.2.2	Sport and the Final Act of Helsinki	383
11.2.3	Assessment	385
11.2.4	Afghanistan	386
11.2.5	Reciprocity	387
11.2.6	Sport and Politics	388
11.2.7	The Olympic Charter	390
11.2.8	National Representation	392
11.2.9	Depoliticisation	393
11.2.10	The IOC	395
11.2.11	Conclusion	396
11.2.12	Postscript	397

11.3	The Sports Boycott of Nigeria: Sports, Politics and Human Rights	398
11.3.1	South Africa.	398
11.3.2	Serbia Montenegro	400
11.3.3	The 1980 Moscow Olympics	401
11.3.4	Sports Boycott of Nigeria	402
11.3.5	Starting Points for ‘Sports and Politics’	404
11.3.6	Conclusion.	406
11.4	The Olympics, China and Human Rights.	407
11.4.1	Introduction	407
11.4.2	United Nations	408
11.4.3	European Union	409
11.4.4	The Netherlands	410
11.4.5	IOC.	410
11.4.6	NOC*NSF	410
11.4.7	Individual Athlete	411
11.4.8	In Closing	412
11.5	Annex 1	413
11.5.1	Statement NOC*NSF Beijing 2008	413
11.5.2	Public Debate.	413
11.5.3	Social Effects.	414
11.6	Annex 2	414
11.6.1	NSF ‘Basic Principles Concerning Sport and Politics’	414
11.7	Summary and Conclusion	416
	References	418