

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.1.1	Diversity and Uncertainty	2
1.1.2	The Price of Precaution	3
1.1.3	Precaution, Risk Analysis and Models of Rationality	4
1.1.4	The Ideal of the Desirability of Precaution	5
1.2	Aim, Plan and Basis	8
1.2.1	Plan of the Book	8
1.2.2	The Requirement of Precaution	10
1.2.3	Degrees of Precaution	13
	References	16
2	Dimensions of Precaution	19
2.1	Values, Levels and Time-Horizons	20
2.1.1	Values	20
2.1.2	Levels and Time-Horizons	22
2.2	May Bring Great Harm	24
2.2.1	De Minimis Risk and the Need for a Limit	24
2.2.2	The Argument from Decision Costs	25
2.3	Show	27
2.3.1	Proof-Standards	27
2.3.2	Decisional Paralysis	28
2.3.3	The Holistic Nature of Precaution	29
2.3.4	Conservatism and Arbitrariness	30
2.4	Risk	31
2.4.1	Likelihoods, Values or Combinations?	31
2.4.2	Quantities, Qualities and Levels of Precision	32
2.4.3	Objective or Subjective?	34
2.5	Too Serious	34
2.6	Summing Up	36
	References	37

3	Precaution and Rationality	39
3.1	Rational Action – the Standard View	39
3.1.1	Efficiency, Value Neutrality and Calculated Risk Taking	40
3.1.2	Enlightenment Critique and the Charge of Instrumental Rationality	42
3.2	Rational Precaution	44
3.2.1	Ignorance, Precaution and the Maximin Rule	44
3.2.2	Limitations of Plausibility, Applicability and Status	47
3.3	From Rationality to Morality	50
3.3.1	Rawls’ Appeal to Responsibility	50
3.3.2	Moral Opinions About Risk Impositions	51
3.3.3	Moral Dilemmas of Precaution	52
	References	54
4	Ethics and Risks	55
4.1	Traditional Criteria of Rightness	56
4.1.1	The Diversity of Normative Ethics	56
4.1.2	Factualism and the Silence on Risks	58
4.1.3	Autonomy and Justice	59
4.1.4	The Two Level Approach	61
4.2	The Virtue of Precaution	63
4.3	Abandoning Factualism	67
4.3.1	The Forbidden Risks Approach	67
4.3.2	Trading Off Risks and Harms 1: Apples and Oranges	73
4.3.3	Trading Off Risks and Harms 2: Improving Practical Guidance	77
4.3.4	Trading Off Risks and Harms 3: The Knowability Argument	78
4.3.5	Trading Off Risks and Harms 4: Back to Square One	80
	References	82
5	The Morality of Imposing Risks	85
5.1	Basic Structure	86
5.2	The Problem of Guidance	88
5.3	Basic Intuitions About Responsibility	89
5.3.1	Absolutes or Degrees?	89
5.3.2	What About Intentions?	92
5.3.3	Assessing and Comparing Degrees of Responsibility	95
5.3.4	Avoiding Indeterminacy – Possibility and Desirability	99
5.4	Areas of Precaution	101
5.4.1	Beyond Risk Neutrality	103
5.4.2	The Quality of Available Evidence	104
5.5	The Weight of Evil	106
5.5.1	Conceptual Preliminaries	107
5.5.2	Five Approaches	108

5.5.3	The Case Against Rigidity	110
5.5.4	Rigidity of Aggregation and the Notion of Rights	112
5.5.5	Simple Progressiveness	115
5.5.6	The Case for Relative Progressiveness	118
5.6	Problems with Relative Progressiveness	122
5.6.1	What Implications for other Normative Issues?	122
5.6.2	The Lack of Numerical Exactness	123
5.6.3	What Size of the Weight?	124
5.6.4	Pure or Mixed Relative Progressiveness?	125
5.6.5	What Makes for an Acceptable Mix of Risks and Chances?	126
5.7	Summing Up	129
	References	131
6	Practical Applications	133
6.1	General Cases	133
6.1.1	Consumerism	134
6.1.2	Why Individual Motivation Should Not Be the Target	139
6.1.3	Precaution as a Collective Good and the Need for a Politics of Power	141
6.2	Hard Cases	143
6.2.1	Climate Change and Pollution	143
6.2.2	Nuclear Power and Energy Production	148
6.2.3	Biotechnology	153
6.3	Policy	161
6.3.1	Do We Really Need a PP?	161
6.3.2	Principlism vs. Proceduralism	163
6.3.3	De Minimis Revisited	166
6.3.4	Justifying the Proof Requirement of Justifiable Policy Claim	168
6.3.5	Justifying the Burden of Proof Requirement	168
6.3.6	Conservatism Revisited	169
6.4	Big Questions	171
6.4.1	The Enlightenment Ideals Revisited	172
6.4.2	The Remaining Challenge of Values	173
6.4.3	The Case for Cosmopolitan Precaution	175
6.4.4	Unrealistic and Dangerous?	177
6.4.5	A Challenge for Liberal Democracy?	178
	References	182
	Index	185