

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations	13
List of Figures	15
List of Tables	17
1. Introduction	19
1.1. The Financial Sector and the Media	19
1.2. State of the Art	23
1.3. Research Questions	26
Is the Attribution of Responsibility in the Media Affected by Institutional Factors?	27
Is there a Pattern to Redress Legitimacy?	28
1.4. Thesis Outline	30
2. Responsibility of Non-Elected Policy Actors in Times of Crisis	32
2.1. Is the Attribution of Responsibility in the Media Affected by Institutional Factors?	32
2.1.1. New Forms of Governance	32
2.1.2. The Democratic Chain of Delegation	33
2.1.3. Independent Regulatory Agencies and their Sources of Legitimacy	34
2.1.4. The Supervisory Architecture – Fragmented Responsibilities	38
2.1.5. The Accountability in the Media	41
Responsibility – a Prerequisite for Accountability	41
The Concept of Accountability	42
The Media as a Forum for Responsibility Attribution	46
2.2. Synthesis of the Theoretical Concepts	49
2.2.1. Elected and Non-Elected Policy Actors in the Media	50
2.2.2. The Supervisory Architecture in the Media	51
2.2.3. The Media System	53
Majoritarian vs. Consensus Democracy	54

Table of Contents

Political Orientation of the Press	57
Journalism Cultures	59
2.2.4. Hypotheses	61
Position in the Democratic Chain of Delegation	61
Theoretical Framework Part I	64
2.3. From Explaining a Legitimacy Deficit to the Redress of Legitimacy	64
2.4. Regulatory Communication and Legitimacy	67
2.5. Is there a Pattern to Redress Legitimacy?	70
2.5.1. The Strategies of Regulatory Communication	71
2.5.2. Constructing Legitimacy within Regulatory Communication	76
2.5.3. Synthesis of the Theoretical Concepts	77
2.5.4. Addressee of Blame in the Media	78
2.5.5. Institutional Context	79
The Supervisory Architecture – Fragmented Responsibilities	79
The Media System	80
Sensitivity to Accountability Issues	81
2.5.6. Hypotheses	83
<i>Supervisory Architecture</i>	84
Theoretical Framework Part II	84
3. Case selection and Methods	85
3.1. The Study Design	85
3.2. Case selection	87
3.2.1. Country Selection	87
3.2.2. Time Period of Analysis	93
3.3. Data Collection	94
3.3.1. Media Analysis	95
Building the Sample	95
Codebook	98
Reliability of the Media Analysis	102
Validity of the Media Analysis	104
3.3.2. Analysis of Press Releases	106
Sample Building	106
Switzerland	107
Germany	108

	The United Kingdom	108
	Codebook	109
	Reliability of the Press Release Analysis	110
	Validity of the Press Release Analysis	112
3.4.	Data Analysis	114
3.4.1.	Media Analysis	114
	Measurement of Concepts	114
3.4.2.	Press Release Analysis	117
	Measurement of Concepts	117
3.5.	The Three Countries under Scrutiny	118
3.5.1.	Switzerland	119
	The Political System	119
	The Media System	121
	The Financial Sector and the Supervisory Architecture	121
3.5.2.	Germany	124
	The Political System	124
	The Media System	125
	The Financial Sector and the Supervisory Architecture	125
3.5.3.	The United Kingdom	127
	The Political System	127
	The Media System	128
	The Financial Sector and the Supervisory Architecture	129
4.	How do Institutional Features of Non-elected Policy Actors affect their Legitimacy?	132
4.1.	The General Attribution Structure	133
4.2.	The Position in the Democratic Chain of Delegation	134
4.2.1.	The Visibility of Policy Actors in the Media Discourse	135
4.2.2.	Portrayal in the Media Discourse	139
4.2.3.	Short Summary	144
4.3.	The Supervisory Architecture in the Media	147
4.3.1.	The Visibility of Policy Actors in the Media Discourse	148

Table of Contents

4.3.2.	Portrayal in the Media Discourse	152
	The Ministries of Finance	153
	The Central Banks	156
	The Supervisory Agencies	158
	The Consumers of Financial Services	159
4.3.3.	Short Summary	160
4.4.	The Media System – Majoritarian vs. Consensus Democracy	164
4.4.1.	The Visibility of Policy Actors in the Media Discourse	164
4.4.2.	The Role of the Policy Actors in the Media Discourse	166
4.4.3.	Short Summary	172
4.5.	The Media – Political Orientation of the Press	174
	The Ideology Pattern in Centre-left and Centre-right Newspapers	174
4.5.1.	Short Summary	176
4.6.	The Media – Journalism Cultures	178
	The Visibility of Journalists	178
	The Use of Responsibility Attributions by Journalists	179
	The Use of Ideological Attribution Patterns by Journalists	181
4.6.1.	Short Summary	183
4.7.	Summary Part I	184
5.	Is there a Pattern to Redress Legitimacy?	190
5.1.	The Use of Press Releases	190
5.2.	The Communication Patterns of Blamed Policy Actors	193
5.2.1.	Communication Strategies	194
5.2.2.	The Intention to Increase Legitimacy by Communication based on the Communication Model of Meyer (1999)	197
5.2.3.	The Communication Patterns and the Level of Blame	199
5.2.4.	Short Summary	200
5.3.	Institutional Context – Supervisory Architecture	203
5.3.1.	Media Exposure	203

5.3.2. Communication Strategies	204
5.3.3. Short Summary	209
5.4. Institutional Context – The Type of Democracy	211
5.4.1. Communication Strategies	211
5.4.2. The Intention to Increase Legitimacy by Communication	215
5.4.3. Short Summary	221
5.5. Institutional Context – The Sensitivity to Accountability Issues	223
5.5.1. The Intention to Increase Legitimacy by Communication	223
5.5.2. Short Summary	229
5.6. Summary Part II	231
6. Conclusion	237
Appendix	247
References	263